

# Sufficiency

## Definition:

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the density  $f(x, \theta)$ . A statistic / estimator  $s(\underline{x})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , if  $f(x, \theta)$  can be factorized as follows.

$$f(x, \theta) = g(s(\underline{x}), \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

Where  $h(\underline{x})$  is nonnegative and does not involve parameter.

## Procedure for finding sufficient estimator:

1. Find the joint p.d.f i.e. likelihood function.
2. P.d.f of statistic (estimator) has to be proved sufficient.
3. Find the conditional p.d.f such as

$$p\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{S=s}\right) = \frac{h(\underline{x}, \theta)}{g(S=s)} = \frac{h(\underline{x}, \theta)}{g(s)}$$

If conditional p.d.f is independent from the parameter then estimator (statistic) is said to be sufficient.

OR

## Nyman Fisher Criteria for Sufficiency:

1. Take the likelihood function of p.d.f.
2. If it can be written as the product of (function of statistic & parameter) and a function which is independent of parameter. Then statistic is said to be sufficient.

Therefore

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(s, \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

## Bernoulli distribution:

Let we have Bernoulli distribution with parameter ' $\theta$ '.

$$f(x) = \binom{1}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x}$$

Applying Likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \theta^{\sum x} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{1}{x}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum x, \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $\sum x$  is sufficient estimator of ' $\theta$ '.

## Pisson distribution:

Let we have Pisson distribution with parameter ' $\theta$ '.

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!}$$

Applying Likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x!}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x!}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum x, \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $\sum x$  is sufficient estimator of ' $\theta$ '.



**Normal distribution:**

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\Pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\delta}\right)^2}$$

Applying Likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\Pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}\sum (x-\mu)^2}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sum (x-\mu)^2}{2\delta^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum (x-\mu)^2}{2\delta^2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}}\right)$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum(x-\mu), \delta^2)h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $\sum(x-\mu)^2$  is sufficient estimator of ' $\delta^2$ '.

**Q.1:**

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $\theta$ .

Then show that  $S = \sum x_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

**Solution:**

As  $x \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$

$$f(x) = \binom{1}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x}$$

Applying Likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \theta^{\sum x} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{1}{x}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum x, \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent from parameter ' $\theta$ ' therefore for nyman fisher factorization

$\sum x$  is sufficient estimator of ' $\theta$ '.

**Q. 2:**

Show that for Possion distribution  $\bar{X}$  is sufficient of  $\theta$ .

**Solution:**

As  $x \sim P(\theta)$

Let we have Possion distribution with parameter ' $\theta$ '.

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!}$$

Applying Likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x!}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x!}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum x, \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent of parameter ' $\theta$ ' therefore  $\sum x$  &  $\bar{x}$  is one to one function so  $\bar{x}$  is sufficient of  $\theta$ .

**Question no 3:**

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a Normal distribution with population mean

$\mu$  and variance  $\delta^2$ . Then show that  $\sum(x-\mu)^2$  is sufficient for  $\delta^2$ .

**Solution:**



As  $x \sim N((\mu, \delta^2))$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\delta}\right)^2}$$

Applying Likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}\sum(x-\mu)^2}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{2\delta^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{2\delta^2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum(x-\mu), \delta^2) h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $\sum(x-\mu)^2$  is sufficient estimator of ' $\delta^2$ '.

#### Question no 4:

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a normal distribution with  $(0, \theta^2)$ .

then show that  $\frac{\sum x^2}{n}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

**Solution:**

As  $X \sim N(0, \theta^2)$

$$f(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta}x^2}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta}\sum x^2}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum x^2}{2\theta}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\sum X^2, \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent of parameter ' $\theta$ ' therefore for Neyman Fisher factorization

criterion  $\frac{\sum x^2}{n}$  is sufficient estimator of  $\theta$ .

#### Question no 5:

Show that sample of ' $n$ ' from a normal distribution with mean ' $\mu$ ' and

standard deviation ' $\delta$ '. then estimator  $\delta^* = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{n}}$  is sufficient estimator for ' $\delta$ '

**Solution:**

As we know that

$$\delta^* = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{n}}$$

$$\delta^{2*} = \frac{\sum(x-\mu)^2}{n}$$

$$n\delta^{2*} = \sum(x-\mu)^2$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}(x-\mu)^2}$$

Applying likelihood function.

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}\sum(x-\mu)^2}$$



$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n\delta^{2*}}{2\delta^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\delta^{2*}, \delta^2) h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent from parameter therefore for Neyman Fisher factorization criteria

$$\delta^* = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x - \mu)^2}{n}} \text{ is sufficient estimator for } \delta'.$$

### Question no 6:

Let  $X_1$  &  $X_2$  be a random sample of size ' $n = 2$ '. From the following poisson distribution with mean ' $\theta$ '. Show that  $S = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient estimator for ' $\theta$ '.

Solution:

$$\text{As } x \sim P(\theta)$$

$$f(x, \theta) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!} \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

Applying likelihood function.

$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{e^{-n\theta} \theta^{\sum x}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x!}$$

$$\text{Therefore } n = 2$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{e^{-2\theta} \theta^{x_1 + x_2}}{x_1! x_2!} \quad \therefore S = x_1 + x_2$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = e^{-2\theta} \theta^S \frac{1}{x_1! x_2!}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(s, \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

As  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent from parameter ' $\theta$ '. Therefore for Neyman Fisher Factorization criteria  $S = x_1 + x_2$  is sufficient estimator for ' $\theta$ '.

### Question no 7:

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size ' $n$ ' from a Gamma distribution with  $(P, \delta)$ .

then show that  $\prod_{i=1}^n x!$  &  $\sum x$  is sufficient for  $P$  &  $\delta$ .

Solution:

$$\text{As } x \sim G(P, \delta)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\prod P \delta^P} X^{P-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\delta}}$$

Applying likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\prod P \delta^P}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n X^{P-1} e^{-\frac{\sum x}{\delta}}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\prod P \delta^P}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum x}{\delta}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right]^P \left[\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right]^{-1}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\prod P \delta^P}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum x}{\delta}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n X\right]^P \left[\prod_{i=1}^n X\right]$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ \& } \sum x; P \text{ \& } \delta\right) h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent from the parameter  $P$  &  $\delta$ . Therefore by Neyman Fisher Factorization

$\prod_{i=1}^n x!$  &  $\sum x$  is joint sufficient for  $P$  &  $\delta$ .



**Question no 8:**

Show that in estimator of ' $\theta$ ' in  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$   $0 \leq x \leq \theta$ . Then the largest observation  $x_{(n)}$  is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

**Solution:**

$$\text{As } f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

Applying likelihood function.

$$L(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x; \theta)$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n$$

We know that P.d.f of  $r$ th order statistic

$$g(x_{(r)}) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F(x_{(r)})]^{r-1} [1-F(x_{(r)})]^{n-r} f(x_{(r)})$$

Put  $n = n$ ,  $r = n$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!(n-n)!} [F(x_{(n)})]^{n-1} [1-F(x_{(n)})]^{n-n} f(x_{(n)})$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n [F(x_{(n)})]^{n-1} f(x_{(n)})$$

A

Now

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta} dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^x 1 dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{x}{\theta}$$

$$F(x_{(n)}) = \frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta}$$

$$f(x_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n \left[ \frac{x_{(n)}}{\theta} \right]^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n \frac{(x_{(n)})^{n-1}}{(\theta)^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n \frac{(x_{(n)})^{n-1}}{(\theta)^n}$$

As Conditional p.d.f

$$f\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{x_{(n)}}\right) = \frac{f(x; \theta)}{g(x_{(n)})}$$

$$f\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{x_{(n)}}\right) = \frac{1/\theta^n}{n(x_{(n)})^{n-1}/\theta^n} = \frac{1}{n(x_{(n)})^{n-1}}$$

Hence the conditional p.d.f is independent for parameter ' $\theta$ '. Therefore  $x_{(n)}$  is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.



**Question no: 9:**

Show that in estimator of ' $\theta$ ' in  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$   $0 \leq x \leq \theta$ . Then the smallest observation  $x_{(1)}$  is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

Solution:

$$\text{As } f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

Applying likelihood function.

$$L(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x; \theta)$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n$$

We know that P.d.f of  $r$ th order statistic

$$g(x_{(r)}) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F(x_{(r)})]^{r-1} [1-F(x_{(r)})]^{n-r} f(x_{(r)})$$

Put  $n = n$ ,  $r = 1$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = \frac{n!}{(1-1)!(n-1)!} [F(x_{(1)})]^{1-1} [1-F(x_{(1)})]^{n-1} f(x_{(1)})$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = (n-1)! [1-F(x_{(n)})]^{n-1} f(x_{(n)})$$

A

Now

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta} dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^x 1 dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{x}{\theta}$$

$$F(x_{(1)}) = \frac{x_{(1)}}{\theta}$$

$$f(x_{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = (n-1) \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{(1)}}{\theta} \right]^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta}$$

As Conditional p.d.f

$$f\left(\frac{x}{x_{(1)}}\right) = \frac{f(x; \theta)}{g(x_{(1)})}$$

$$f\left(\frac{x}{x_{(1)}}\right) = \frac{1/\theta^n}{(n-1) \left[ 1 - \frac{x_{(1)}}{\theta} \right]^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta}}$$

Hence the conditional p.d.f is not independent for parameter ' $\theta$ '. Therefore  $x_{(1)}$  is not sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.



**Question no: 10**

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample from the normal density with mean ' $\mu$ ' & variance  $\delta^2$ .

Then show that  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$  &  $\hat{\delta}^2 = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{n}$  are the set of two sufficient estimators for ' $\mu$ ' &  $\delta^2$ .

Solution:

As we know that

$$x \sim N(\mu, \delta^2)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}(x-\mu)^2}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}\sum (x-\mu)^2} \quad A$$

$$\therefore \sum (x - \mu)^2 = \sum (x - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2 = \sum [(x - \bar{x})^2 + (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + 2(x - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - \mu)]$$

$$\sum (x - \mu)^2 = \sum (x - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + 2(\bar{x} - \mu)\sum (x - \bar{x})$$

$$\sum (x - \mu)^2 = \sum (x - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2$$

$$\therefore \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{n} = \hat{\delta}^2 = \sum (x - \bar{x})^2 = n\hat{\delta}^2$$

$$\sum (x - \mu)^2 = n\hat{\delta}^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta^2}[n\hat{\delta}^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^n e^{-\frac{n\hat{\delta}^2}{2\delta^2}} e^{-\frac{n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2\delta^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(\hat{\delta}^2, \bar{x}; \delta^2, \mu) \cdot h(\underline{x})$$

As  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent from parameter  $\mu, \delta^2$  therefore by Neyman Fisher Factorization  $\bar{x}$  &  $\delta^2$  is a set of sufficient estimator for  $\mu$  &  $\delta^2$ .

**Question no 11:**

Let 'n' be a fixed quantity and  $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, X_{(3)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$  are n order statistic which are obtained from the

$f(x; \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$   $\theta < x < \infty$ . Then the first order statistic is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

Solution:

$$\text{As } f(x) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x; \theta)$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = e^{-\sum (x-\theta)} = e^{-(\sum x - n\theta)}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = e^{-\sum x} e^{n\theta}$$

We know that p.d.f of rth order statistic.

$$g(x_{(r)}) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F(x_{(r)})]^{r-1} [1-F(x_{(r)})]^{n-r} f(x_{(r)})$$

Put  $n = n$ ,  $r = 1$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = \frac{n!}{(1-1)!(n-1)!} [F(x_{(1)})]^{1-1} [1-F(x_{(1)})]^{n-1} f(x_{(1)})$$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = n[1-F(x_{(1)})]^{n-1} f(x_{(1)})$$

A

Now

$$f(x) = e^{-x} e^{\theta} \quad \theta < x < \infty$$



$$F(x) = \int_{\theta}^x e^{-x} e^{\theta} dx$$

$$F(x) = e^{\theta} \int_{\theta}^x e^{-x} dx$$

$$F(x) = e^{\theta} \left[ \frac{e^{-x}}{-1} \right]_{\theta}^x$$

$$F(x) = -e^{\theta} [e^{-x} - e^{\theta}]$$

$$F(x) = -e^{\theta} e^{-x} + e^{-\theta + \theta}$$

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x}$$

$$F(x_{(1)}) = 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(1)}}$$

$$f(x_{(1)}) = e^{-x_{(1)}} e^{\theta}$$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = n \left[ 1 - (1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(1)}}) \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(1)}} e^{\theta})$$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = n \left[ 1 - 1 + e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(1)}} \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(1)}} e^{\theta})$$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = n \left[ e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(1)}} \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(1)}} e^{\theta})$$

$$g(x_{(1)}) = n e^{n\theta} e^{-xn}$$

As conditional density

$$f(x/x_{(1)}) = \frac{f(x; \theta)}{g(x_{(1)})} = \frac{e^{-\Sigma x} e^{n\theta}}{n e^{n\theta} e^{-xn}} = \frac{e^{-\Sigma x}}{n e^{-xn}}$$

As conditional p.d.f is independent of parameter ' $\theta$ ' so first order statistic is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

#### Question no 12:

Let 'n' be a fixed quantity and  $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, X_{(3)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$  are n order statistic which are obtained from the

$f(x; \theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)} \quad \theta < x < \infty$ . Then the largest order statistic is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

Solution:

$$\text{As } f(x) = e^{-(x-\theta)}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x; \theta)$$

$$L(x) = e^{-\Sigma(x-\theta)} = e^{-(\Sigma x - n\theta)}$$

$$L(x) = e^{-\Sigma x} e^{n\theta}$$

We know that p.d.f of rth order statistic.

$$g(x_{(r)}) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} [F(x_{(r)})]^{r-1} [1 - F(x_{(r)})]^{n-r} f(x_{(r)})$$

Put  $n = n$ ,  $r = n$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!(n-1)!} [F(x_{(n)})]^{n-1} [1 - F(x_{(n)})]^{n-n} f(x_{(n)})$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = (n-1)! [F(x_{(n)})]^{n-1} f(x_{(n)}) \quad (A)$$

Now

$$f(x) = e^{-x} e^{\theta} \quad \theta < x < \infty$$

$$F(x) = \int_{\theta}^x e^{-x} e^{\theta} dx$$

$$F(x) = e^{\theta} \int_{\theta}^x e^{-x} dx$$

$$F(x) = e^{\theta} \left[ \frac{e^{-x}}{-1} \right]_{\theta}^x$$



$$F(x) = -e^{\theta} [e^{-x} - e^{\theta}]$$

$$F(x) = -e^{\theta} e^{-x} + e^{-\theta + \theta}$$

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x}$$

$$F(x_{(n)}) = 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(n)}}$$

$$f(x_{(n)}) = e^{-x_{(n)}} e^{\theta}$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n \left[ 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(n)}} \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(n)}} e^{\theta})$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n \left[ e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(n)}} \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(n)}} e^{\theta})$$

$$g(x_{(n)}) = n \left[ 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(n)}} \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(n)}} e^{\theta})$$

As conditional density

$$f(x/x_{(n)}) = \frac{f(x; \theta)}{g(x_{(n)})} = \frac{e^{-\sum X} e^{n\theta}}{n \left[ 1 - e^{\theta} e^{-x_{(n)}} \right]^{n-1} (e^{-x_{(n)}} e^{\theta})}$$

As conditional p.d.f is not independent of parameter ' $\theta$ ' so  $X_{(n)}$  order statistic is not sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Question no: 13

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size 'n' from the distribution  $f(x; \theta) = \theta X^{\theta-1}$  then show

that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

As

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta X^{\theta-1}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(x) = (\theta)^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{\theta-1}$$

$$L(x) = (\theta)^n \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right]^{\theta} \frac{1}{\left[ \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right]}$$

$$L(x) = g\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \theta\right) h(x)$$

Hence  $h(x)$  is independent from the parameter  $\theta$ . Therefore by Nyman Fisher Factorization  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i$  is

sufficient for  $\theta$ . And  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i$  & G.M is one to one function so G.M is also sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Question no 14:

Let  $X_1$  &  $X_2$  be a random sample of size 'n=2'. From  $N(\theta, 1)$ . Show that  $Y_i = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient estimator for ' $\theta$ '.

As  $x \sim N(0, 1)$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2} \quad -\infty < x < +\infty$$



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\theta)^2}$$

Applying likelihood function.

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum(x-\theta)^2}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum(x-\theta)^2} \quad \therefore n = 2$$

$$\therefore \sum(x-\theta)^2 = (x_1-\theta)^2 + (x_2-\theta)^2$$

$$\sum(x-\theta)^2 = x_1^2 + \theta^2 - 2x_1\theta + x_2^2 + \theta^2 - 2x_2\theta$$

$$\sum(x-\theta)^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2\theta^2 - 2\theta(x_1 + x_2)$$

$$\sum(x-\theta)^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2\theta^2 - 2\theta Y$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2\theta^2 - 2\theta Y)}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} e^{-\theta^2} e^{\theta Y}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} e^{-\theta^2} e^{\theta Y}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = e^{-\theta^2} e^{\theta Y} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = g(Y = x_1 + x_2; \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

Hence  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent from parameter ' $\theta$ '. Therefore  $Y = x_1 + x_2$  is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

### Minimal Sufficient Statistic:

A set of jointly sufficient statistic is said to be minimal if and only if it is the function of any other sufficient statistic

Example:

If  $Y_{(1)}$  is sufficient statistic and  $Y_{(n)}$  is also sufficient statistic and joint  $(Y_{(1)}, Y_{(n)})$  is the sufficient for both  $Y_{(1)}$  &  $Y_{(n)}$ .

Question no 15:

Let  $Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, Y_{(3)}, \dots, Y_{(n)}$  be a random sample of size 'n' from the p.d.f  $f(Y) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}$

$\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq Y \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . Then show that  $Y_{(1)}$  &  $Y_{(n)}$  are joint sufficient estimator for

$\lambda_1$  &  $\lambda_2$ .



$$\text{As } f(Y) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{Y}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda_2}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{Y}) = \left(\frac{1}{2^n \lambda_2^n}\right) = f(\underline{Y}; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

We know that p.d.f of  $i^{th}$  &  $j^{th}$  order statistic.

$$g(y_i, y_j) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-j)!(j-i-1)!} [F(y_i)]^{i-1} [1-F(y_j)]^{n-j} [F(y_j)-F(y_i)]^{j-i-1} f(y_i) f(y_j)$$

$$\text{put } i=1, j=n, n=n$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n!}{(1-1)!(n-n)!(n-1-1)!} [F(y_1)]^{1-1} [1-F(y_n)]^{n-n} [F(y_n)-F(y_1)]^{n-1-1} f(y_1) f(y_n)$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)!}{(n-2)!} [F(y_n)-F(y_1)]^{n-2} f(y_1) f(y_n)$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = n(n-1) [F(y_n)-F(y_1)]^{n-2} f(y_1) f(y_n) \rightarrow A$$

$$\text{As } f(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}$$

$$f(y_1) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} \quad f(y_n) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}$$

$$F(x) = \int_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}^x \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}^x 1. dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} x \Big|_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}^x$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} [x - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)]$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} [x - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]$$

$$F(y_{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} [y_{(1)} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]$$

$$F(y_{(n)}) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} [y_{(n)} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]$$

Put these values in equation (A).



$$g(y_1, y_n) = n(n-1) \left[ \frac{[y_{(n)} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]}{2\lambda_2} - \frac{[y_{(1)} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]}{2\lambda_2} \right]^{n-2} \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\lambda_2}$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(2\lambda_2)^2} \left[ \frac{y_{(n)} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - y_{(1)} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{2\lambda_2} \right]^{n-2}$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(2\lambda_2)^2} \left[ \frac{y_{(n)} - y_{(1)}}{2\lambda_2} \right]^{n-2}$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(2\lambda_2)^2} \frac{1}{(2\lambda_2)^{n-2}} (y_{(n)} - y_{(1)})^{n-2}$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(2\lambda_2)^n} (y_{(n)} - y_{(1)})^{n-2}$$

Now we consider.

$$f(\underline{y} / y_1, y_n) = \frac{f(\underline{y})}{g(y_1, y_n)} = \frac{1/2^n \cdot 1/\lambda_2^n}{\frac{n(n-1)}{(2\lambda_2)^n} (y_{(n)} - y_{(1)})^{n-2}}$$

$$f(\underline{y} / y_1, y_n) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)(y_{(n)} - y_{(1)})^{n-2}}$$

As conditional p.d.f is independent from the parameter  $\lambda_1$  &  $\lambda_2$  therefore  $y_1$  &  $y_n$  is joint sufficient for  $\lambda_1$  &  $\lambda_2$

Question no 16:

Let  $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < X_{(3)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$  be a random sample of size 'n' from the p.d.f  $f(X) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$

$\alpha < X < \beta$ . Then show that  $X_{(1)}$  &  $X_{(n)}$  are jointly sufficient estimator for  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$ .

$$\text{As } f(X) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left( \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{Y}) = \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)^n} = f(\underline{Y}; \alpha, \beta)$$

We know that p.d.f of  $i^{th}$  &  $j^{th}$  order statistic.

$$g(x_i, x_j) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-j)!(j-i-1)!} [F(x_i)]^{i-1} [1 - F(x_j)]^{n-j} [F(x_j) - F(x_i)]^{j-i-1} f(x_i) f(x_j)$$

Put  $i = 1$ ,  $j = n$ ,  $n = n$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = \frac{n!}{(1-1)!(n-n)!(n-1-1)!} [F(x_1)]^{1-1} [1 - F(x_n)]^{n-n} [F(x_n) - F(x_1)]^{n-1-1} f(x_1) f(x_n)$$



$$g(x_1, x_n) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)!}{(n-2)!} [F(x_n) - F(x_1)]^{n-2} f(x_1) f(x_n)$$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = n(n-1) [F(x_n) - F(x_1)]^{n-2} f(x_1) f(x_n) \rightarrow A$$

As

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \quad \alpha < x < \beta$$

$$f(y_1) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \quad f(y_n) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$$

$$F(x) = \int_{\alpha}^x \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \int_{\alpha}^x 1 \cdot dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} x \Big|_{\alpha}^x$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} [x - \alpha]$$

$$F(x_1) = \frac{x_{(n)} - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}$$

$$F(x_{(n)}) = \frac{x_{(n)} - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}$$

Put these values in equation (A).

$$g(x_1, x_n) = n(n-1) [F(x_n) - F(x_1)]^{n-2} f(x_1) f(x_n)$$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = n(n-1) \left[ \frac{x_{(n)} - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} - \frac{x_{(n)} - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \right]^{n-2} \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} \left[ \frac{x_{(n)} - \alpha - x_{(1)} + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \right]^{n-2}$$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} \left[ \frac{x_{(n)} - x_{(1)}}{\beta - \alpha} \right]^{n-2}$$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)^{n-2}} (x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2}$$

$$g(x_1, x_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(\beta - \alpha)^n} (x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2}$$

Now we consider

$$f(\underline{x} / x_1, x_n) = \frac{f(\underline{x})}{g(x_1, x_n)}$$



$$f(\underline{x} / x_1, x_n) = \frac{1/(\beta - \alpha)^n}{\frac{n(n-1)}{(\beta - \alpha)^n} (x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2}}$$

$$f(\underline{x} / x_1, x_n) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)(x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2}}$$

As conditional p.d.f is independent from the parameter  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  therefore  $x_1$  &  $x_n$  is jointly sufficient estimator for  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$ .

Question no 17:

Let  $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < X_{(3)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$  be a random sample of size 'n' from the uniform distribution with parameter  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  and  $X_i = \text{Mini}(Y_i)$  and  $X_n = \text{Max}(Y_n)$ .

- If  $\theta_1 = 0$  show that  $Y_n$  is sufficient for  $\theta_2$ .
- If  $\theta_1$  &  $\theta_2$  are unknown then show that  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  is sufficient for  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ .

(a):

$$\text{As } f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \quad \theta_1 < x < \theta_2$$

if  $\theta_1 = 0$

$$f(x, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_2} \quad 0 < x < \theta_2$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2}\right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(\theta_2)^n}$$

The p.d.f of  $i^{th}$  order statistic.

$$g(y_{(i)}) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!} [F(y_{(i)})]^{i-1} [1 - F(y_{(i)})]^{n-i} f(y_{(i)})$$

Put  $n = n$ ,  $i = n$

$$g(y_{(n)}) = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!(n-n)!} [F(y_{(n)})]^{n-1} [1 - F(y_{(n)})]^{n-n} f(y_{(n)})$$

$$g(y_{(n)}) = n [F(y_{(n)})]^{n-1} f(y_{(n)}) \rightarrow A$$

Now

$$\text{As } f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$



$$F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta^2} dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_0^x 1 \cdot dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} x \Big|_0^x = \frac{x}{\theta^2}$$

$$F(y_n) = \frac{y_{(n)}}{\theta^2}$$

$$g(y_{(n)}) = n \left[ \frac{y_{(n)}}{\theta_2} \right]^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta_2}$$

$$g(y_{(n)}) = \left[ \frac{(y_n)^{n-1}}{(\theta_2)^{n-1}} \right] \frac{n}{\theta_2}$$

$$g(y_{(n)}) = \frac{n(y_n)^{n-1}}{\theta_2^n}$$

Now we consider

$$f(\underline{x} / y_n) = \frac{L(\underline{x})}{g(y_n)}$$

$$f(\underline{x} / y_n) = \frac{1 / \theta_2^n}{n(y_n)^{n-1} / \theta_2^n}$$

$$f(\underline{x} / y_n) = \frac{1}{n(y_n)^{n-1}}$$

As conditional p.d.f is independent from parameter  $\theta_2$ . therefore  $Y_n$  is sufficient for  $\theta_2$ .

(b):

$$\text{As } f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \quad \theta_1 < x < \theta_2$$

Taking likelihood function

$$L(\underline{x}) = \left( \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \right)^n$$

$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^n}$$

We know that p.d.f of  $i^{th}$  &  $j^{th}$  order statistic.

$$g(y_i, y_j) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-j)!(j-i-1)!} [F(y_i)]^{i-1} [1-F(y_j)]^{n-j} [F(y_j)-F(y_i)]^{j-i-1} f(y_i) f(y_j)$$

put  $i=1$ ,  $j=n$ ,  $n=n$



$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n!}{(1-1)!(n-n)!(n-1-1)!} [F(y_1)]^{1-1} [1-F(y_n)]^{n-n} [F(y_n)-F(y_1)]^{n-1-1} f(y_1) f(y_n)$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)!}{(n-2)!} [F(y_n)-F(y_1)]^{n-2} f(y_1) f(y_n)$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = n(n-1) [F(y_n)-F(y_1)]^{n-2} f(y_1) f(y_n) \rightarrow A$$

As

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \quad \theta_1 < x < \theta_2$$

$$f(y_1) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \quad f(y_n) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

$$F(x) = \int_{\theta_1}^x \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_{\theta_1}^x 1 \cdot dx$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} x \Big|_{\theta_1}^x$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} (x - \theta_1)$$

$$F(x) = \frac{x - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

$$F(y_{(1)}) = \frac{y_1 - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

$$F(y_{(n)}) = \frac{y_n - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

Put these values in equation (A).

$$g(y_1, y_n) = n(n-1) \left[ \frac{y_1 - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} - \frac{y_1 - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \right]^{n-2} \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^2} \left[ \frac{y_n - \theta_1 - y_1 + \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \right]^{n-2}$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^2} \left[ \frac{(y_n - y_1)^{n-2}}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^{n-2}} \right]$$

$$g(y_1, y_n) = \frac{n(n-1)(y_n - y_1)^{n-2}}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^n}$$

Now we consider

$$f(x / y_1, y_n) = \frac{f(x)}{g(y_1, y_n)}$$



$$f(\underline{x} / y_1, y_n) = \frac{1/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^n}{\frac{n(n-1)}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^n} (y_{(n)} - y_{(1)})^{n-2}}$$

$$f(\underline{x} / y_1, y_n) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)(y_{(n)} - y_{(1)})^{n-2}}$$

As conditional p.d.f is independent from the parameter  $\theta_1$  &  $\theta_2$  therefore  $y_1$  &  $y_n$  is joint sufficient estimator for  $\theta_1$  &  $\theta_2$

### Nyman Fisher Factorization Theorem:

OR

Theorem of Sufficient Estimator:

Proof:

Suppose  $S=s$  is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '

Then by definition

$$p\left[\frac{\underline{x}}{S=s}\right] = h(\underline{x})$$

Where  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent of parameter ' $\theta$ '

But

$$p\left[\frac{\underline{x}}{S=s}\right] = \frac{f(\underline{x}; \theta)}{g(S=s)} = h(\underline{x}) \rightarrow A$$

$$p(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(S=s)h(\underline{x})$$

Hence it is proved that " $S=s$ " is sufficient for parameter ' $\theta$ '.

Then

$$p(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(s(\underline{x}), \theta)h(\underline{x})$$

Where  $h(\underline{x})$  is independent for the parameter ' $\theta$ '.

Now we suppose equation A hold that

$$p\left[\frac{\underline{x}}{S=s}\right] = \frac{f(\underline{x}; \theta)}{g(S=s)}$$

$$g(S=s; \theta) = \sum p(\underline{x}; \theta)$$

$$= g(s(\underline{x}), \theta) \sum h(\underline{x})$$

$$p\left[\frac{\underline{x}}{S=s}\right] = \frac{g(s(\underline{x}); \theta)h(\underline{x})}{g(s(\underline{x}); \theta) \sum h(\underline{x})}$$



$$p\left[\frac{\underline{x}}{S=s}\right] = \frac{h(\underline{x})}{\sum h(\underline{x})}$$

Which is independent for ' $\theta$ '. Hence by definition “ $S=s$ ” is sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

Note:

If

Where  $f(\underline{x}; \theta)$  is joint P.d.f and  $g(S=s)$  is a function of 's' then s is called sufficient for ' $\theta$ '.

### Minimal Sufficient Statistic:

A set of jointly sufficient statistic is said to be minimal if and only if it is the function of any other sufficient statistic

Example:

If  $Y_{(1)}$  is sufficient statistic and  $Y_{(n)}$  is also sufficient statistic and joint  $(Y_{(1)}, Y_{(n)})$  is the sufficient for both  $Y_{(1)}$  &  $Y_{(n)}$ .

Prepared by: Muhammad Riaz  
Lecturer: Statistics  
The Islamia University Bahawalpur  
Sub Campus  
RYK